

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
9

10 FREDERICK CONNORS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 FRONTIERLAND
14 COMMUNICATIONS, *et al.*,

15 Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00319-LDG (CWH)

ORDER

16

17 The plaintiff, Frederick Connors, submitted an Application to Proceed *in Forma*
18 *Pauperis* (#1) and a Complaint. The Court granted Connors' Application to Proceed *in*
19 *Forma Pauperis* and ordered the Clerk of the Court to file Plaintiff's Complaint. In addition,
20 however, the Court also ordered that the Complaint be dismissed without prejudice as the
21 Complaint failed to sufficiently allege jurisdiction and failed to state a claim. Rather, he
22 simply declared that his privacy rights were violated without any reference to any specific
23 federal law.

24 The Magistrate Judge has entered a Report and Recommendation (#17) finding that
25 more than thirty days have elapsed since the Court dismissed the Complaint, but that
26 Connors has not submitted an amended complaint with sufficient facts correcting the noted

1 deficiencies. Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge recommends that this matter be dismissed
2 with prejudice. Connors has not filed an objection to the Report and Recommendation.
3 Rather, he has filed two cursory documents he styles as motions for injunctive relief (## 18,
4 19). Each of the motions suffers from the same deficiency as the original complaint;
5 Connors has simply declared a request for injunctive relief. Accordingly,

6 THE COURT **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation (#17) is ADOPTED;

7 THE COURT FURTHER **ORDERS** that this matter is DISMISSED with prejudice;

8 THE COURT FURTHER **ORDERS** that Plaintiff's Motions for Injunctive Relief (##
9 18, 19) are DENIED as moot.

10
11 DATED this 8/6 day of September, 2012.


12
13 Lloyd D. George
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26